



# The New York State District Report Card

**Accountability  
and Overview Report  
2007 – 08**

District **AMSTERDAM CITY SCHOOL  
DISTRICT**

District ID **27-01-00-01-0000**

Superintendent **THOMAS PERILLO**

Telephone **(518) 843-5217**

Grades **PK-12, UE, US**

## This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

### For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services  
New York State Education Department  
Room 863 EBA  
Albany, NY 12234  
Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

## Use this report to:

### **1** Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

### **2** Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

### **3** View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2008–09 accountability status.

### **4** Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

District **AMSTERDAM CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**District ID **27-01-00-01-0000**

## District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

### Enrollment

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Pre-K	31	65	86
Kindergarten	258	295	254
Grade 1	271	264	285
Grade 2	244	269	236
Grade 3	276	270	263
Grade 4	261	278	259
Grade 5	260	269	268
Grade 6	279	281	255
Ungraded Elementary	85	0	42
Grade 7	309	289	286
Grade 8	309	298	271
Grade 9	352	368	365
Grade 10	345	313	320
Grade 11	299	297	273
Grade 12	223	253	255
Ungraded Secondary	0	10	9
<b>Total K-12</b>	<b>3771</b>	<b>3754</b>	<b>3641</b>

### Average Class Size

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
<b>Common Branch</b>	20	24	22
<b>Grade 8</b>			
English	20	21	17
Mathematics	22	17	18
Science	22	21	18
Social Studies	22	21	18
<b>Grade 10</b>			
English	21	22	22
Mathematics	19	17	13
Science	23	21	
Social Studies	17	19	18

### Enrollment Information

*Enrollment* counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### Average Class Size Information

*Average Class Size* is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1-6.

District **AMSTERDAM CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**District ID **27-01-00-01-0000**

## Demographic Factors

	2005-06		2006-07		2007-08	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	1084	29%	1134	30%	1262	35%
Reduced-Price Lunch	177	5%	190	5%	201	6%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	222	6%	194	5%	162	4%
<b>Racial/Ethnic Origin</b>						
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black or African American	158	4%	152	4%	143	4%
Hispanic or Latino	1089	29%	1167	31%	1183	32%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	34	1%	33	1%	32	1%
White	2490	66%	2396	64%	2247	62%
Multiracial**	N/A	N/A	6	0%	36	1%

\* Available only at the school level.

\*\* Multiracial enrollment data were not collected statewide in the 2005-06 school year.

## Attendance and Suspensions

	2004-05		2005-06		2006-07	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		92%		92%		91%
Student Suspensions	286	8%	341	9%	360	10%

## Demographic Factors Information

*Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch* percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. *Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient* counts are used to determine *Similar Schools* groupings within a *Need/Resource Capacity* category.

## Attendance and Suspensions Information

*Annual Attendance Rate* is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. *Student Suspension* rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District **AMSTERDAM CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**District ID **27-01-00-01-0000**

## Teacher Qualifications

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
<b>Total Number of Teachers</b>	311	271	308
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	1%	1%	1%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	2%	1%	3%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	5%	4%	13%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	11%	11%	11%
<b>Total Number of Core Classes</b>	1236	827	918
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	3%	2%	3%
<b>Total Number of Classes</b>	1133	1092	1164
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	3%	2%	3%

## Teacher Turnover Rate

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	25%	23%	21%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	13%	15%	16%

## Staff Counts

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Total Other Professional Staff	21	30	21
Total Paraprofessionals*	81	83	68
Assistant Principals	3	3	3
Principals	7	6	6

\* Not available at the school level.

## Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

*Core Classes* are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. The number of K-6 common branch core classes is multiplied by five so that these core class counts are weighted the same as counts for middle- and secondary-level teachers who report five classes per day. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

## Teacher Turnover Rate Information

*Teacher Turnover Rate* for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

## Staff Counts Information

*Other Professionals* includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

## Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2007–08, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: [www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml](http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml).



### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

#### A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2007–08 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

#### B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2004 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

### 3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

#### A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

#### B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

## Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

### Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The 2004 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2004–05 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2004–05 school year, who were enrolled on October 3, 2007 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2008, are not included in the 2004 school accountability cohort. The 2004 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

### Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMO's for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

### Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

### Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at [www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts](http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts).

### Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

### Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[ \frac{\text{Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students}} \right]$$

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[ \frac{\text{Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Cohort Members}} \right]$$

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at [www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts](http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts).

### Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

### Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

### Safe Harbor Targets

The 2007–08 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

$$2006-07 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2006-07 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$$

### Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2007–08 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2006–07 PI. The 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2007–08 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2007–08.

### Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2007–08, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

## Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: [www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml](http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml).

### Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

#### ▲ District in Good Standing

- A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

### New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

**Pending** – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

## Summary

### Overall Accountability Status (2008–09)

#### Improvement (Year 2)

ELA	Improvement (Year 2)	Science	Good Standing
Math	Good Standing	Graduation Rate	Good Standing

### Title I Part A Funding

#### Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

2006–07	2007–08	2008–09
YES	YES	YES

### On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Student Groups	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level		
	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
<b>All Students</b>	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓
<b>Ethnicity</b>						
American Indian or Alaska Native						
Black or African American	✓	✓		–	–	
Hispanic or Latino	✓	✓		✗	✗	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	–	–		–	–	
White	✓	✓		✓	✓	
Multiracial						
<b>Other Groups</b>						
Students with Disabilities	✓ <sup>SH</sup>	✗		✗	✗	
Limited English Proficient	✓ <sup>SH</sup>	✗		–	–	
Economically Disadvantaged	✓	✓		✗	✗	
<b>Student groups making AYP in each subject</b>	✓ 7 of 7	✗ 5 of 7	✓ 1 of 1	✗ 1 of 5	✗ 2 of 5	✓ 1 of 1

#### AYP Status

- ✓ Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- ✗ Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

#### Accountability Status Levels

- | Federal                               | State  |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| Good Standing                         | Good Standing                                |
| Improvement (Year 1)                  | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)         |
| Improvement (Year 2)                  | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)         |
| Improvement (Year 3)                  | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)         |
| Improvement (Year 4)                  | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)         |
| Improvement (Year 5 & Above)          | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above) |
| Pending – Requires Special Evaluation |  |

## Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

**Accountability Status**  Improvement (Year 2)  
**for This Subject**  
**(2008–09)**

**Accountability Measures** 7 of 7 Student groups making AYP in English language arts  
 Made AYP

### Prospective Status

To be removed from improvement status in English language arts, this district must make AYP in this measure at the elementary/middle or secondary level for two consecutive years. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2008-09, the district will be In Need of Improvement (Year 3) in 2009-10. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in this measure in 2008-09, the district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [217]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2007–08    2008–09
<b>All Students</b> (1619:1565)			99%		149	130	
<b>Ethnicity</b>							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)							
Black or African American (79:75)			100%		144	122	
Hispanic or Latino (540:515)			99%		128	128	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (7:7)	—	—	—	—	—	—	
White (993:968)			100%		160	129	
Multiracial (0:0)							
<b>Other Groups</b>							
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (310:297)	 SH		98%	 SH	99	127	99    109
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup> (80:83)	 SH		96%	 SH	88	122	76    99
Economically Disadvantaged (878:837)			99%		132	129	
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>	 7 of 7						

#### NOTES

<sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

<sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

<sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

<sup>4</sup> If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

<sup>5</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

## Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

**Accountability Status**  Good Standing  
**for This Subject**  
**(2008–09)**

**Accountability Measures** 5 of 7 Student groups making AYP in mathematics  
 Did not make AYP

### Prospective Status

A district that fails to make AYP in mathematics at the elementary/middle and secondary levels for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2008-09, the district will be District In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2009-10. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2008-09, the district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [202]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2007–08    2008–09
<b>All Students</b> (1625:1550)			99%		154	99	
<b>Ethnicity</b>							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)							
Black or African American (79:75)			100%		139	91	
Hispanic or Latino (543:513)			99%		131	97	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (7:7)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (996:955)			99%		168	98	
Multiracial (0:0)							
<b>Other Groups</b>							
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (313:293)			98%		86	96	96    97
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup> (79:91)			100%		86	92	90    97
Economically Disadvantaged (878:827)			99%		137	98	
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>	 5 of 7						

#### NOTES

<sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

<sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

<sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

<sup>4</sup> If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

<sup>5</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

## Elementary/Middle-Level Science

**Accountability Status**  Good Standing  
**for This Subject**  
**(2008–09)**

**Accountability Measures** 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in science  
 Made AYP

**Prospective Status** This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	AYP		Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target 2007–08 2008–09
<b>All Students</b> (549:513)		Qualified		98%		168	100	
<b>Ethnicity</b>								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (28:26)		—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino (179:162)		Qualified		98%		145	100	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)		—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (341:324)		Qualified		99%		180	100	
Multiracial (0:0)								
<b>Other Groups</b>								
Students with Disabilities (105:97)		Qualified		95%		133	100	
Limited English Proficient <sup>4</sup> (34:38)		Qualified	—	—		103	100	
Economically Disadvantaged (275:257)		Qualified		98%		154	100	
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>		1 of 1						

#### NOTES

<sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

<sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

<sup>3</sup> Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

<sup>4</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

#### AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

## Secondary-Level English Language Arts

**Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)**  Improvement (Year 2)

**Accountability Measures** 1 of 5 Student groups making AYP in English language arts  
 Did not make AYP

### Prospective Status

To be removed from improvement status in English language arts, this district must make AYP in this measure at the elementary/middle or secondary level for two consecutive years. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2008-09, the district will be In Need of Improvement (Year 3) in 2009-10. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in this measure in 2008-09, the district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [217]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP Status	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
		Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2007–08	2008–09
(12th Graders: 2004 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>								
<b>All Students (248:268)</b>			100%		147	158	158	152
<b>Ethnicity</b>								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (8:4)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino (56:68)			100%		100	153	132	110
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (2:2)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (182:194)			100%		164	157		
Multiracial (0:0)								
<b>Other Groups</b>								
Students with Disabilities (22:37)		—	—		57	149	78 <sup>‡</sup>	71
Limited English Proficient <sup>4</sup> (1:3)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (44:69)			100%		110	153	128	119
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>	 1 of 5							

#### NOTES

<sup>1</sup> These data show the count of 12th graders in 2007–08 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2004 cohort (used for Performance).

<sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

<sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort, data for 2003 and 2004 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2004 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

<sup>4</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

<sup>‡</sup> This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

## Secondary-Level Mathematics

**Accountability Status**  Good Standing  
**for This Subject**  
**(2008–09)**

**Accountability Measures** 2 of 5 Student groups making AYP in mathematics  
 Did not make AYP

### Prospective Status

A district that fails to make AYP in mathematics at the elementary/middle and secondary levels for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2008-09, the district will be District In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2009-10. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2008-09, the district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [202]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2007–08    2008–09
(12th Graders: 2004 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>							
<b>All Students</b> (248:268)			100%		160	152	
<b>Ethnicity</b>							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)							
Black or African American (8:4)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino (56:68)			100%		118	147	142    126
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (2:2)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (182:194)			99%		173	151	
Multiracial (0:0)							
<b>Other Groups</b>							
Students with Disabilities (22:37)		—	—		68	143	93 <sup>‡</sup> 81
Limited English Proficient <sup>4</sup> (1:3)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (44:69)			100%		125	147	132    133
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>	 2 of 5						

#### NOTES

<sup>1</sup> These data show the count of 12th graders in 2007–08 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2004 cohort (used for Performance).

<sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

<sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort, data for 2003 and 2004 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2004 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

<sup>4</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

<sup>‡</sup> This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

## Graduation Rate

**Accountability Status**  Good Standing  
**for This Indicator**  
**(2008–09)**

**Accountability Measures** 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in graduation rate  
 Made AYP

**Prospective Status** This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

Student Group (Cohort Count) <sup>1</sup>	Graduation		Graduation Rate <sup>2</sup>	Objectives		
	AYP	Met Criterion		State Standard	Progress Target 2007–08 2008–09	
<b>All Students</b> (306)			68%	55%		
<b>Ethnicity</b>						
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)						
Black or African American (11)						
Hispanic or Latino (60)			42%	55%	39%	43%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (2)						
White (233)			73%	55%		
Multiracial (0)						
<b>Other Groups</b>						
Students with Disabilities (44)			25%	55%	28%	26%
Limited English Proficient <sup>3</sup> (8)						
Economically Disadvantaged (63)			46%	55%	37%	47%
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>		1 of 1				

#### NOTES

<sup>1</sup> Graduation-rate total cohort differs from the accountability cohort in that the graduation-rate total cohort includes students who left school prior to BEDS day of the fourth year after first entering grade 9 and students who enrolled after BEDS day of the fourth year after first entering grade 9.

<sup>2</sup> Percentage of the 2003 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007.

<sup>3</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

## Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2003 graduation-rate total cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2007–08.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2003 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2007–08 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2002 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006. The 2008–09 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2003 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2007–08 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

# 3 School Accountability Status

District **AMSTERDAM CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **27-01-00-01-0000**

## 2008–09 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2008–09 accountability status.

### Federal Title I Status

### New York State Status

#### Good Standing

3 schools identified 50% of total

MARIE CURIE INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING AND COMMUNICATION

WILBUR H LYNCH LITERACY ACADEMY

WILLIAM H BARKLEY MICROSOCIETY

#### Improvement (Year 1)

1 school identified 17% of total

RAPHAEL J MCNULTY ACADEMY FOR INTERN STUDIES & LITERACY

#### Improvement (Year 2)

1 school identified 17% of total

WILLIAM B TECLER ARTS IN EDUCATION

#### Requiring Academic Progress (Year 6)

1 school identified 17% of total

AMSTERDAM HIGH SCHOOL

District **AMSTERDAM CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**District ID **27-01-00-01-0000**

## Summary of 2007–08 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
<b>English Language Arts</b>				
Grade 3	49%			267
Grade 4	53%			255
Grade 5	63%			256
Grade 6	54%			250
Grade 7	65%			279
Grade 8	42%			276
<b>Mathematics</b>				
Grade 3	74%			272
Grade 4	66%			256
Grade 5	64%			262
Grade 6	73%			248
Grade 7	66%			273
Grade 8	44%			277
<b>Science</b>				
Grade 4	76%			258
Grade 8	69%			278

<b>Secondary Level</b>	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			2004 Total Cohort
	0%	50%	100%	
English	56%			325
Mathematics	63%			325

### About the Performance Level Descriptors

#### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

### How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at [www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts](http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts).


In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

### This District's N/RC Category:

#### High Need/Resource Rural Districts

This is a rural school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

## This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



### Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>267</b>	<b>86%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>259</b>	<b>84%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>4%</b>
Female	146	91%	48%	3%	139	91%	53%	4%
Male	121	79%	50%	11%	120	76%	48%	5%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	12	-	-	-	16	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	90	82%	37%	4%	86	79%	35%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	164	87%	55%	9%	156	87%	60%	7%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	13	100%	54%	0%	17	82%	41%	0%
General-Education Students	236	93%	54%	8%	215	89%	59%	5%
Students with Disabilities	31	29%	10%	0%	44	59%	11%	0%
English Proficient	255	88%	51%	7%	243	86%	53%	5%
Limited English Proficient	12	42%	0%	0%	16	44%	13%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	167	81%	38%	4%	135	78%	34%	1%
Not Disadvantaged	100	94%	67%	12%	124	90%	69%	8%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	267	86%	49%	7%	259	84%	51%	4%

#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


\* Level 4 range is for 2007-08 only. The 2006-07 range is 730-780.

### Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	7	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics



### Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>272</b>	<b>93%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>264</b>	<b>88%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>13%</b>
Female	148	95%	76%	10%	145	93%	70%	8%
Male	124	91%	70%	16%	119	82%	66%	19%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	12	-	-	-	18	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	94	88%	61%	5%	89	74%	48%	3%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	165	95%	80%	18%	156	95%	81%	18%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	13	100%	85%	0%	19	95%	63%	16%
General-Education Students	243	97%	80%	14%	223	95%	77%	14%
Students with Disabilities	29	59%	21%	0%	41	51%	24%	7%
English Proficient	257	94%	77%	14%	241	92%	72%	14%
Limited English Proficient	15	80%	13%	0%	23	48%	30%	4%
Economically Disadvantaged	170	90%	65%	6%	139	79%	55%	5%
Not Disadvantaged	102	98%	87%	25%	125	98%	84%	22%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	272	93%	74%	13%	264	88%	69%	13%

#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

### Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-

## This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2008 Mean Score: 647	612-775	650-775	716-775			
2007 Mean Score: 648						
Number of Tested Students:	214	225	136	131	5	9

### Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>255</b>	<b>84%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>264</b>	<b>85%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>3%</b>
Female	140	88%	54%	1%	143	87%	50%	2%
Male	115	79%	52%	3%	121	83%	50%	5%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	15	-	-	-	15	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	85	75%	34%	0%	95	78%	34%	3%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	154	89%	64%	3%	153	89%	59%	3%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	16	81%	56%	0%	16	94%	56%	6%
General-Education Students	215	91%	61%	2%	220	91%	58%	4%
Students with Disabilities	40	48%	13%	0%	44	55%	7%	0%
English Proficient	236	87%	58%	2%	252	87%	52%	4%
Limited English Proficient	19	42%	0%	0%	12	58%	0%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	139	74%	38%	0%	143	79%	36%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	116	96%	72%	4%	121	93%	65%	3%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	255	84%	53%	2%	264	85%	50%	3%

#### NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

### Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics



### Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>256</b>	<b>87%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>264</b>	<b>87%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>11%</b>
Female	142	87%	65%	9%	141	87%	59%	6%
Male	114	86%	68%	17%	123	87%	71%	16%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	15	-	-	-	14	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	86	74%	50%	3%	95	83%	48%	6%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	154	94%	75%	18%	154	89%	75%	14%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	16	88%	69%	6%	15	87%	60%	7%
General-Education Students	215	93%	75%	15%	219	93%	72%	13%
Students with Disabilities	41	56%	20%	0%	45	58%	29%	0%
English Proficient	235	91%	71%	13%	250	89%	67%	12%
Limited English Proficient	21	43%	14%	5%	14	50%	14%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	139	80%	54%	7%	141	79%	50%	6%
Not Disadvantaged	117	95%	80%	19%	123	95%	80%	17%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	256	87%	66%	13%	264	87%	64%	11%


#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

### Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	4	-	-	-

## This District's Results in Grade 4 Science



### Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>258</b>	<b>93%</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>26%</b>	<b>265</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>87%</b>	<b>38%</b>
Female	142	94%	75%	20%	141	96%	83%	31%
Male	116	91%	77%	34%	124	95%	92%	45%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	15	-	-	-	16	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	86	84%	60%	16%	96	91%	79%	26%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	156	97%	83%	31%	152	99%	91%	46%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	16	94%	88%	25%	17	100%	100%	29%
General-Education Students	217	94%	79%	31%	222	96%	88%	43%
Students with Disabilities	41	83%	59%	0%	43	95%	84%	12%
English Proficient	237	95%	79%	28%	252	97%	89%	40%
Limited English Proficient	21	67%	33%	5%	13	77%	54%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	138	88%	67%	19%	140	94%	81%	28%
Not Disadvantaged	120	98%	85%	34%	125	98%	94%	49%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	258	93%	76%	26%	265	96%	87%	38%


#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

### Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	4	-	-	-

## This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



### Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year			2006-07 School Year				
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>256</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>248</b>	<b>87%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>6%</b>
Female	130	95%	65%	2%	122	87%	56%	5%
Male	126	95%	60%	2%	126	87%	60%	6%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	15	-	-	-	15	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	95	91%	40%	2%	76	78%	42%	4%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	145	98%	78%	1%	155	92%	68%	7%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	16	100%	63%	0%	17	82%	41%	0%
General-Education Students	210	98%	73%	2%	197	94%	69%	7%
Students with Disabilities	46	85%	17%	0%	51	59%	18%	0%
English Proficient	247	96%	65%	2%	237	90%	61%	6%
Limited English Proficient	9	78%	0%	0%	11	27%	0%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	152	94%	49%	1%	133	78%	47%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	104	97%	84%	3%	115	97%	71%	8%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	256	95%	63%	2%	248	87%	58%	6%

#### NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

### Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year			2006-07 School Year				
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	6	6	6	6
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics



### Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>262</b>	<b>92%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>252</b>	<b>89%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>12%</b>
Female	134	93%	60%	4%	126	87%	56%	12%
Male	128	92%	68%	14%	126	91%	68%	11%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	15	-	-	-	15	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	98	88%	47%	5%	77	78%	51%	8%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	148	95%	76%	11%	158	95%	70%	13%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	16	94%	50%	6%	17	82%	41%	12%
General-Education Students	214	97%	73%	10%	202	94%	68%	14%
Students with Disabilities	48	73%	23%	2%	50	68%	38%	2%
English Proficient	253	92%	66%	9%	238	91%	64%	12%
Limited English Proficient	9	89%	0%	0%	14	50%	21%	7%
Economically Disadvantaged	157	89%	52%	5%	136	82%	48%	9%
Not Disadvantaged	105	97%	81%	14%	116	97%	78%	15%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	262	92%	64%	9%	252	89%	62%	12%


#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

### Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	6	6	5	5

## This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



### Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>250</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>275</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>5%</b>
Female	122	100%	58%	3%	122	100%	61%	7%
Male	128	100%	51%	0%	153	96%	52%	3%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	14	-	-	-	9	100%	33%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	74	100%	31%	0%	90	98%	33%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	5	100%	100%	0%
White	161	100%	66%	2%	171	98%	68%	8%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	15	100%	47%	0%				
General-Education Students	199	100%	64%	2%	220	100%	67%	6%
Students with Disabilities	51	100%	16%	0%	55	91%	11%	0%
English Proficient	243	100%	56%	2%	263	98%	58%	5%
Limited English Proficient	7	100%	14%	0%	12	83%	8%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	135	100%	40%	1%	132	98%	42%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	115	100%	71%	3%	143	97%	69%	8%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	250	100%	54%	2%	275	98%	56%	5%

#### NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

### Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	6	6	5	3	3	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics



## Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>248</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>278</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>9%</b>
Female	121	91%	70%	14%	125	97%	58%	6%
Male	127	90%	75%	13%	153	96%	67%	10%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	14	-	-	-	9	100%	11%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	71	85%	62%	8%	92	92%	46%	2%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	5	100%	80%	0%
White	162	95%	78%	15%	172	98%	74%	13%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	15	67%	60%	13%				
General-Education Students	197	97%	86%	16%	223	98%	72%	11%
Students with Disabilities	51	63%	22%	2%	55	89%	24%	0%
English Proficient	239	91%	74%	14%	263	98%	65%	9%
Limited English Proficient	9	67%	44%	0%	15	73%	13%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	132	83%	60%	7%	133	96%	50%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	116	98%	87%	21%	145	97%	74%	14%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	248	90%	73%	13%	278	96%	63%	9%


### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	6	6	6	5	3	-	-	-

## This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



### Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>279</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>287</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>5%</b>
Female	123	99%	72%	2%	137	96%	55%	7%
Male	156	99%	60%	1%	150	97%	43%	3%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	10	-	-	-	17	94%	24%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	89	98%	48%	0%	84	94%	31%	2%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-				
White	177	100%	76%	2%	186	97%	60%	7%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	13	100%	38%	0%				
General-Education Students	217	100%	77%	2%	231	99%	59%	6%
Students with Disabilities	62	97%	24%	0%	56	86%	7%	0%
English Proficient	268	99%	66%	1%	277	97%	51%	5%
Limited English Proficient	11	100%	45%	0%	10	80%	0%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	132	99%	55%	0%	130	94%	34%	1%
Not Disadvantaged	147	99%	75%	3%	157	98%	62%	9%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	279	99%	65%	1%	287	96%	49%	5%

#### NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

### Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics



## Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>273</b>	<b>92%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>293</b>	<b>94%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>3%</b>
Female	118	96%	68%	15%	140	95%	46%	4%
Male	155	90%	64%	18%	153	93%	44%	3%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	10	-	-	-	15	87%	7%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	90	87%	43%	4%	91	93%	32%	2%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-				
White	170	95%	78%	25%	187	95%	54%	4%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	13	92%	54%	0%				
General-Education Students	210	97%	80%	22%	237	97%	53%	4%
Students with Disabilities	63	76%	19%	0%	56	80%	9%	0%
English Proficient	261	94%	68%	18%	278	95%	47%	3%
Limited English Proficient	12	50%	17%	0%	15	87%	0%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	129	91%	50%	5%	135	92%	30%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	144	94%	79%	27%	158	96%	58%	6%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	273	92%	66%	17%	293	94%	45%	3%


### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-

## This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



### Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year			2006-07 School Year				
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>276</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>310</b>	<b>93%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>2%</b>
Female	132	98%	48%	3%	143	95%	52%	1%
Male	144	98%	35%	1%	167	90%	45%	2%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	13	100%	23%	0%	18	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	85	98%	25%	0%	87	85%	31%	1%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander					1	-	-	-
White	178	98%	51%	3%	204	95%	58%	2%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					19	100%	21%	0%
General-Education Students	221	100%	52%	3%	240	98%	60%	3%
Students with Disabilities	55	91%	2%	0%	70	76%	9%	0%
English Proficient	265	98%	43%	2%	301	94%	50%	2%
Limited English Proficient	11	100%	0%	0%	9	56%	0%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	132	97%	26%	0%	129	88%	31%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	144	99%	56%	4%	181	96%	61%	2%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	276	98%	42%	2%	310	93%	48%	2%

#### NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

### Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year			2006-07 School Year				
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				2	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics



## Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>277</b>	<b>82%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>310</b>	<b>81%</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>5%</b>
Female	131	81%	43%	6%	143	80%	38%	3%
Male	146	83%	45%	10%	167	83%	39%	5%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	13	85%	23%	0%	19	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	87	64%	28%	5%	90	71%	13%	1%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander					2	-	-	-
White	177	90%	53%	10%	199	86%	52%	7%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					21	76%	19%	0%
General-Education Students	223	90%	52%	10%	243	91%	47%	6%
Students with Disabilities	54	48%	11%	0%	67	45%	7%	0%
English Proficient	264	84%	45%	8%	297	83%	40%	5%
Limited English Proficient	13	46%	8%	0%	13	38%	15%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	131	73%	29%	3%	127	76%	24%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	146	90%	57%	12%	183	85%	49%	7%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	277	82%	44%	8%	310	81%	39%	5%


### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-

## This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



## Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>278</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>19%</b>	<b>301</b>	<b>93%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>18%</b>
Female	129	95%	71%	16%	139	94%	65%	14%
Male	149	97%	68%	22%	162	92%	69%	21%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	13	92%	38%	0%	18	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	89	92%	51%	10%	86	78%	38%	2%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander					1	-	-	-
White	176	98%	81%	25%	196	99%	82%	27%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					19	95%	42%	0%
General-Education Students	223	97%	78%	23%	242	96%	76%	22%
Students with Disabilities	55	91%	31%	2%	59	80%	31%	2%
English Proficient	265	97%	72%	20%	286	95%	70%	19%
Limited English Proficient	13	69%	15%	0%	15	40%	13%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	129	95%	55%	10%	120	91%	54%	8%
Not Disadvantaged	149	97%	81%	27%	181	94%	76%	25%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	278	96%	69%	19%	301	93%	67%	18%

### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				2	-	-	-
Regents Science	0				0			

## This District's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction


### This District

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4



■ 2004 Cohort  
■ 2003 Cohort


### NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4



## Results by Student Group

### 2004 Cohort

Number of Students      Percentage scoring at level(s):  
2-4      3-4      4

### 2003 Cohort\*\*

Number of Students      Percentage scoring at level(s):  
2-4      3-4      4

	2004 Cohort	2004 Cohort	2004 Cohort	2004 Cohort	2003 Cohort**	2003 Cohort**	2003 Cohort**	2003 Cohort**
	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>325</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>308</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>18%</b>
Female	156	71%	65%	19%	147	73%	66%	22%
Male	169	60%	49%	12%	161	73%	63%	14%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	5	–	–	–	11	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino	93	43%	29%	3%	59	54%	46%	8%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	–	–	–	3	–	–	–
White	225	74%	67%	21%	235	77%	70%	21%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	7	86%	71%	0%	14	79%	50%	14%
General-Education Students	270	76%	67%	19%	264	81%	72%	21%
Students with Disabilities	55	16%	4%	0%	44	23%	16%	0%
English Proficient	320	66%	57%	16%	299	75%	66%	19%
Limited English Proficient	5	40%	0%	0%	9	11%	11%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	86	48%	37%	3%	65	49%	38%	6%
Not Disadvantaged	239	72%	63%	20%	243	79%	71%	21%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	325	66%	56%	15%				

### NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

### 2004 Cohort

Number of Students      Number scoring at level(s):  
2-4      3-4      4

### 2003 Cohort

Number of Students      Number scoring at level(s):  
2-4      3-4      4

	2004 Cohort	2003 Cohort
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0	0

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

\*\* 2003 cohort data are those reported in the 2006-07 *Accountability and Overview Report*.

\*\*\* The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

## This District's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

### This District

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4


### NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4



## Results by Student Group

	2004 Cohort				2003 Cohort**			
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>325</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>308</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>18%</b>
Female	156	74%	67%	21%	147	76%	70%	18%
Male	169	64%	59%	21%	161	74%	68%	18%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	5	-	-	-	11	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	93	45%	40%	8%	59	54%	49%	8%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
White	225	77%	72%	27%	235	79%	75%	21%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	7	100%	100%	14%	14	86%	57%	14%
General-Education Students	270	79%	74%	26%	264	84%	78%	21%
Students with Disabilities	55	18%	11%	0%	44	20%	16%	0%
English Proficient	320	69%	64%	22%	299	76%	71%	19%
Limited English Proficient	5	20%	20%	0%	9	22%	11%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	86	52%	47%	8%	65	54%	45%	6%
Not Disadvantaged	239	74%	69%	26%	243	80%	76%	21%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	325	69%	63%	21%				

### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

	2004 Cohort			2003 Cohort				
	Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):		Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				0			

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

\*\* 2003 cohort data are those reported in the 2006-07 *Accountability and Overview Report*.

\*\*\* The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.